

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

January 9, 2007

[Cite as *01/09/2007 Case Announcements, 2007-Ohio-37.*]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

### **2003-1572. State ex rel. Howard v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 97AP-860. This cause came on for further consideration upon appellant's motion for leave to file a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the motion for leave is denied.

### **2006-2230. Bd. of Edn. for the Orange City School Dist. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2004-A-738, 2004-A-739, 2004-A-742, 2004-A-743, 2004-A-747, and 2004-A-748. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that briefing in this case is stayed.

It is further ordered that appellant shall show cause why this case should not be dismissed on one or both of the following grounds: (1) For lack of an appealable order under R.C. 5717.04 and/or (2) pursuant to the doctrine of res judicata. Appellant shall file a memorandum of law addressing these issues within twenty days of the date of this order. Appellees shall file a responsive memorandum within ten days of the filing of appellant's memorandum, and appellant may file a reply memorandum within five days of the filing of appellees' memorandum. The memoranda shall not exceed fifteen pages in length, and no extensions of time shall be permitted.

## DISCIPLINARY CASES

### **2002-2219. Lorain Cty. Bar Assn. v. Paterson.**

On application for reinstatement of James J. Paterson, Attorney Registration No. 0062320. Application granted and respondent reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

### **MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

#### **2006-1332. State ex rel. Lynch v. Giant Eagle, Inc.**

Franklin App. No. 05AP-864, 2006-Ohio-3148. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due January 2, 2007, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed sua sponte.

### **MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

In re Report of the Commission  
On Continuing Legal Education.

CLE-03-62320

James Joseph Paterson  
(#0062320),  
Respondent.

E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2001-2002 reporting period.

On December 5, 2003, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On December 27, 2006, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court's order of suspension. Respondent has satisfied all the requirements of this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, James Joseph Paterson, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

### **MEDIATION REFERRALS**

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

**2006-2336. State ex rel. Kelly Servs., Inc. v. Indus. Comm.**  
Franklin App. No. 05AP-1192, 2006-Ohio-5868.